INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US07/68553

A. CLAS	SSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER A61B 17/00 (2006.01) A61F 5/00(2006.01)			
USPC: 604/500,606/86R According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC				
	DS SEARCHED			
	cumentation searched (classification system followed b 04/500; 606/86R	y classifica	tion symbols)	
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched				
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) EAST search terms: spinal, stenosis, epidural, tissue				
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where a		of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X Y	USPN 6,010,493 (SNOKE) 4 January 2000 (04.01.20	000)		1 2-19
•				•
	.*			
	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.		See patent family annex.	
"A" document	pecial categories of cited documents: t defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of relevance	"T"	later document published after the inter date and not in conflict with the applica principle or theory underlying the inver	ation but cited to understand the
•	plication or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the cl considered novel or cannot be consider when the document is taken alone	
	t which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as	"Ү"	document of particular relevance; the c considered to involve an inventive step with one or more other such documents	when the document is combined
"O" document	t referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		obvious to a person skilled in the art	s, such combination ocing
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but latenthan the priority date claimed		"&" document member of the same patent family		
Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of			SEP 2000	h report
02 objection 2000 (02:05:12000)				
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents				1 Xtax
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201			e No. (571) 272-3700	· Jen

PCT/US2007/068553 11.09.2008

Telephone No. (571) 272-3700

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT DAVID A. ROSE CONLEY ROSE, P.C. P.O. BOX 3267 WRITTEN OPINION OF THE HOUSTON, TX 77253-3267 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below 2329-01101 International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US07/68553 09 May 2007 (09.05.2007) 09 May 2006 (09.05.2006) International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC: A61B 17/00(2006.01) A61F 5/00(2006.01) USPC: 604/500,606/86R Applicant X-STEN, CORP. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Date of completion of this opinion Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Nicholas Lucchesi 02 September 2008 (02.09.2008) Commissioner for Patents

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2007)

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

P.O. Box 1450

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201

PCT/US2007/068553 11.09.2008

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International	application No.	
DCT/LISO7/A	(9553	

Box No	o. I Basis of this opinion				
1. With r	1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
\bowtie	the international application in the language in which it was filed				
2.	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)). This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this				
	Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a)) 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
a.	type of material				
	a sequence listing				
	table(s) related to the sequence listing				
b.	format of material				
	on paper	· ·			
	in electronic form				
c.	time of filing/furnishing				
	contained in the international application as filed.				
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.				
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
4.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequer or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subapplication as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as	sequent or additional copies is identical to that in the			
	••	appropriate, were ruimsiled.			
5. Additi	tional comments:				
		·			
•					
•					
		• •			
•					
	·				

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (April 2007)

PCT/US2007/068553 11.09.2008

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US07/68553

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under	Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i)	with regard to no	velty, inventive step o	r industrial
	annlicability: citations and				

1.	Statement		
	Novelty (N)	Claims 2-19	YES
	•	Claims 1	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims NONE	YES
		Claims 1-19	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-19	YES
		Claims NONE	NO
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

2. Citations and explanations:

Claim 1 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by USPN 6,010,493 to Snoke.

Claims 2-19 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Snoke.

With respect to independent claim 1, Snoke discloses a method for treating stenosis in a spine of a patient having a median plane, the spine including a spinal canal having a posterior surface, a dural sac and an epidural space between the posterior surface and dural sac, the location of the stenosis determining a region of interest in the spine (abstract), comprising the steps of: a) generating at least one view of a portion of the spinal canal in the region of interest (163); b) compressing the dural sac in the region of interest by injecting a fluid to form a safety zone and establish a working zone in the region of interest, the safety zone lying between the working zone and the dural sac (166); c) percutaneously accessing the epidural space in the region of interest on a first lateral side of the median plane; d) inserting a tissue removal tool into tissue in the working zone on the first lateral side of the median plane (165); e) using the tissue removal tool to percutaneously reduce the stenosis on the first lateral side of the median plane (171); and f) utilizing the at least one view to position the tissue removal tool during at least a part of step d) and at least part of step e) (figures 13 and 14).

Dependent claims 2-19 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step.

Claims 1-19 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2007)